

SEMINAIRE DE MASTER Digital Knowledge 2015

LA CONNAISSANCE COMPUTATIONNELLE

Paris-Malaquais jeudi 12 mars 2015 16h30, salle 102





From Multicellular Development to Morphogenetic Engineering to Synthetic Biology





René Doursat http://doursat.free.fr



Emergences

````





#### **Erasmus Mundus**



# Systems that are self-organized <u>and</u> architectured

Flock of starlings above Rome



self-organized architecture / architectured self-organization



Ex1: SYMBRION: Symbiotic Evolutionary Robot Organisms (S. Kernbach, T. Schmickl, A. Winfield et al.)





Ex2: SWARMORPH: Morphogenesis with Self-Assembling Robots (M. Dorigo, R. O'Grady et al., IRIDIA, ULB)



Ex3: Project "GroCyPhy": Growing Cyber-Physical Systems (S. Stepney, J. Miller et al., York) Artist's impression of a garden of fully grown, growing, and pruned skyscrapers "Skyscraper Garden" © David A. Hardy/www.astroart.org 2012

# Gardening Cyber-Physical Systems

Susan Stepney, Ada Diaconescu, René Doursat, Jean-Louis Giavitto, Taras Kowaliw, Ottoline Leyser, Bruce MacLennan, Olivier Michel, Julian F. Miller, Igor Nikolic, Antoine Spicher, Christof Teuscher, Gunnar Tufte, Francisco J. Vico, Lidia Yamamoto

#### Introduction

Our vision is of construction by directed growth, through gardening macroscopic cyber-physical artefacts formed from a growing, integrated combination of material and virtual subsystems.

Our GRO-CYPHY architecture comprises three major components:

- a Seed Factory, a process for designing specific computational seeds to meet cyberphysical system requirements;
- a Growth Engine, providing the computational processes that grow physical seeds in simulation, and grow virtual seeds into software;
- 3. a Computational Garden, where multiple seeds can be planted and grown in concert, where virtual seeds can be interfaced with embodied growth processes, and where a high-level gardener can shape the whole into complex cyber-physical systems.

#### Seed Factory

High-level phenotype (grown) specifications are input; the search process develops the relevant seeds (subsystem genomes); it uses the Growth Engine to grow candidate seeds into phenotypes, which it evaluates against the specification, and feeds the information back into its search process.



#### Growth Engine

A Growth Engine provides the computational mechanisms to grow a seed. This might be required to grow in simulation a seed intended for a physical device, or to grow the seed of a virtual component such as a software control system.



# Gardening Cyber-Physical Systems

#### Computational Garden

The computational garden is where the various seeds are planted and grow together, responding to their environment, into the resultant artefact. The garden provides a high-level metaphor: high-level guiding of a robust complex growing system, rather than low-level engineering of the precise placement of every cell or particle.



Depts of Computer Science and Electronics, U. York, UK; LTCI CNRS, Télécom-ParisTech, France; GEB, Universidad de Málaga, Spain; ISC-PIF, CNRS, Paris, France; UMR STMS 9921, IRCAM – CNRS, France; Sainsbury Laboratory, U. Cambridge, UK; EECS, Univ. Tennessee, Knoxville, USA; LACL – U-PEC, France; TPM, TU Delft, NL; ECE, Portland State U, USA; NTNU, Norway; University of Strasbourg, France

contact : susan.stepney@york.ac.uk

"Skyscraper Garden" © David A. Hardy/www.astroart.org 2012

Susan Stepney, Ada Diaconescu, René Doursat, Jean-Louis Giavitto, Taras Kowaliw, Ottoline Leyser, Bruce MacLennan, Olivier Michel, Julian F. Miller, Igor Nikolic, Antoine Spicher, Christof Teuscher, Gunnar Tufte, Francisco J. Vico, Lidia Yamamoto





planned actitivities: civil engineering, mechanical engineering, electrical engineering, computer engineering, companies, (building) architecture, enterprise architecture, urbanism collective motion, swarm intelligence, pattern formation, complex (social) networks, spatial communities

# Systems that are self-organized <u>and</u> architectured

### Embryogenesis to Simulated Development to Synthetic Biology





#### Ex: Pattern formation – Animal colors

animal patterns caused by pigment cells that try to copy their nearest neighbors  $\checkmark$ but differentiate from farther cells





(Scott Camazine, http://www.scottcamazine.com)









#### Ex: Swarm intelligence – Insect colonies

NetLogo Fur simulation

trails form by ants that follow and reinforce each other's pheromone path



http://taos-telecommunity.org/epow/epow-archive/ archive 2003/EPOW-030811 files/matabele ants.jpg



http://picasaweb.google.com/



Harvester ants (Deborah Gordon, Stanford University)



NetLogo Ants simulation



# **Natural Complex Systems**

## Ex: <u>Collective motion</u> – Flocking, schooling, herding





Fish school (Eric T. Schultz, University of Connecticut) (Montana State University, Bozeman)

**Bison herd** 

- thousands of animals that adjust their position,
  - orientation and speed wrt to their nearest neighbors



Separation, alignment and cohesion ("Boids" model, Craig Reynolds)



NetLogo Flocking simulation

## Ex: Diffusion and networks – Cities and social links

 $\checkmark$  clusters and cliques of homes/people that aggregate in geographical or social space



http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Urban\_sprawl



NetLogo urban sprawl simulation





MS PowerPoint clip

NetLogo preferential attachment



#### **Emergence on multiple levels of self-organization**



large number of elementary agents interacting locally

decentralized dynamics: no blueprint or architect



simple individual behaviors creating a complex emergent collective behavior

![](_page_11_Picture_0.jpeg)

# **Canonical Complex Systems**

### Emergence

- ✓ the system has properties that the elements do not have
- $\checkmark$  these properties cannot be easily inferred or deduced
- $\checkmark$  different properties can emerge from the same elements

## > Self-organization

- ✓ the system's "order" increases without external intervention
- this originates purely from interactions among the agents (possibly via cues in the environment)

Counter-examples of emergence without self-organization
 ex: well-informed leader (orchestra conductor, military officer)
 ex: global plan (construction area), full instructions (program)

![](_page_12_Picture_0.jpeg)

# **The Archipelago of Complex Systems**

## Precursor and neighboring disciplines

**complexity:** measuring the length to describe, time to build, or resources to run, a system

- **information theory** (Shannon; entropy)
- computational complexity (P, NP)
- Turing machines & cellular automata

→ Toward a unified "complex systems" science and engineering?

# dynamics: behavior and activity of a system over time

- nonlinear dynamics & chaos
- stochastic processes
- systems dynamics (macro variables)

adaptation: change in typical functional regime of a system

- evolutionary methods
- genetic algorithms
- machine learning

#### systems sciences: holistic (nonreductionist) view on interacting parts

- systems theory (von Bertalanffy)
- systems engineering (design)
- cybernetics (Wiener; goals & feedback)
- **control theory** (negative feedback)

# multitude, statistics: large-scale properties of systems

- graph theory & networks
- statistical physics
- agent-based modeling
- distributed AI systems

![](_page_13_Picture_0.jpeg)

# **Canonical Complex Systems**

### All agent types: molecules, cells, animals, humans & tech

![](_page_13_Figure_3.jpeg)

# SC "Natural" vs. "Human-Caused" Complex Systems

#### **Natural** and human-caused categories of complex systems

![](_page_14_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_0.jpeg)

# **Architecture without Architects**

### "Simple"/"random" vs. architectured complex systems

![](_page_15_Figure_3.jpeg)

"The stripes are easy, it's the horse part that troubles me" —attributed to A. Turing, after his 1952 paper on morphogenesis

![](_page_16_Picture_0.jpeg)

# "Supra-Human" Complex Systems

### Human superstructures are "natural" CS

### by their unplanned, spontaneous emergence and adaptivity... geography: cities, populations people: social networks wealth: markets, economy technology: Internet, Web

# ... arising from a multitude of traditionally designed artifacts

houses, buildings

address books

companies, institutions

computers, routers

![](_page_16_Picture_9.jpeg)

# Systems that are self-organized <u>and</u> architectured

### Embryogenesis to Simulated Development to Synthetic Biology

![](_page_17_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_1.jpeg)

nonlinear optics imaging method (without dyes): based on natural "Second and Third Harmonic Generation" (SHG, THG) of photons by live tissue from a laser excitation

Emmanuel Beaurepaire's Optics & Bioscience Lab at Ecole Polytechnique Paris

<u>biological marker</u>
 <u>imaging method</u>:
 "Double Labelling"
 ubiquitous staining with
 two fluorescent proteins
 targeted at the cell
 nuclei and membranes

### Phenomenological reconstruction: BioEmergences workflow

![](_page_20_Picture_2.jpeg)

image processing and reconstruction workflow: Emmanuel Faure,

Benoit Lombardot, Thierry Savy, Rene Doursat, Paul Bourgine (Polytechnique/CNRS), Matteo Campana, Barbara Rizzi, Camilo Melani, Cecilia Zanella, Alex Sarti (Bologna), Olga Drblíkova, Zuzana Kriva, Karol Mikula (Bratislava), Miguel Luengo-Oroz (Madrid)

### Morphogenesis essentially couples mechanics and genetics

[A] Cell mechanics ("self-sculpting")

![](_page_21_Figure_3.jpeg)

### [A] Cell behavior: equations of motion

![](_page_22_Figure_2.jpeg)

### [B] Cell types: GRN or "Waddingtonian" timeline

![](_page_22_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Figure_6.jpeg)

| Target cell type T | Axis id                                                       |
|--------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Intensity          | +1: mono N <sup>+</sup> -1: mono N <sup>-</sup><br>0: bipolar |

## [C] coupling

# $\textbf{MECAGEN} \rightarrow \textbf{Case Studies in the Zebrafish}$

- 3. How is the Zebrafish blastula shaped?
- → How does blastula shape emerge from cell-cell interactions?
- 5. Intercalation patterns
- → Are protrusions sufficient to drive epiboly ?

![](_page_23_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Figure_7.jpeg)

Macroscopic landmarks characterizing epiboly

- 6. Cell behaviors during gastrulation
- → How is cell division orientation and the polarization field during convergenceextension?

![](_page_23_Picture_11.jpeg)

## > Validation and optimization: fitness and parameter search

• find the most "realist" simulation, i.e. closest to the phenomenal reconstruction

![](_page_24_Figure_3.jpeg)

## **MECAGEN** $\rightarrow$ **Case Study in the Sea Urchin**

![](_page_25_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_0.jpeg)

# **MecaGen Acknowledgments**

![](_page_26_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### Julien Delile ex-Doctoral Student MECAGEN

![](_page_26_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_5.jpeg)

# Nadine Peyriéras

Research Director, CNRS

![](_page_26_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_10.jpeg)

Emmanuel Faure Research Engineer Arrived in 2005

![](_page_26_Picture_12.jpeg)

Adeline Boyreau Engineer/Ingénieure d'Étude Arrived in 2012

![](_page_26_Picture_14.jpeg)

Mathieu Bouyrie PhD Student/Doctorant Arrived in 2012

![](_page_26_Picture_16.jpeg)

Barbara Rizzi CNRS TEFOR Arrived in 2010

![](_page_26_Picture_18.jpeg)

Julien Dumont Engineer/Ingénieur d'Étude Arrived in 2013

![](_page_26_Picture_20.jpeg)

Dimitri Fabrèges PhD Student/Doctorant Arrived in November 2010

![](_page_26_Picture_22.jpeg)

Thierry Savy Research Engineer Arrived in 2006

![](_page_26_Picture_24.jpeg)

Paul Villoutreix PhD Student/Doctorant Arrived in 2011

![](_page_26_Picture_26.jpeg)

Adeline Rausch PhD Student/Doctorante Arrived in April 2013

# Systems that are self-organized <u>and</u> architectured

### Embryogenesis to Simulated Development to Synthetic Biology

![](_page_27_Figure_2.jpeg)

# 

> The challenges of complex systems (CS) research

Transfersamong systems

![](_page_28_Picture_3.jpeg)

**CS science:** understanding & modeling "natural" CS

(spontaneously emergent, including human-made)

### Exports

- decentralization
- autonomy, homeostasis
- learning, evolution

### Imports

• observe, model

- control, harness
- design, use

![](_page_28_Picture_14.jpeg)

**CS (ICT) engineering:** designing a new generation of "artificial/hybrid" CS (harnessed & tamed, including nature)

![](_page_29_Picture_0.jpeg)

# > Exporting natural CS to artificial disciplines, such as ICT

![](_page_29_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_0.jpeg)

# > Exporting natural CS to artificial disciplines, such as ICT

![](_page_30_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_0.jpeg)

# **Embryomorphic Engineering**

- Exploring the avenue from **biological** to **artificial development** 
  - designing multi-agent models for decentralized systems engineering

### Embryogenesis

![](_page_31_Figure_5.jpeg)

**Embryomorphic Engineering** 

# **Architectures Without Architects**

![](_page_32_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Figure_0.jpeg)

## From centralized heteromy to decentralized autonomy

artificial systems are built exogenously, organisms endogenously
 grow

![](_page_33_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_5.jpeg)

thus, future (SASO) engineers should "step back" from their creation and only set *generic* conditions for systems to self-assemble and evolve

![](_page_33_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_5.jpeg)

(a)

![](_page_34_Picture_6.jpeg)

**Self-Organized Systems** Showing no Architecture

![](_page_34_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Figure_3.jpeg)

Doursat, Sayama & Michel (2012, 2013)

(a)

![](_page_36_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_0.jpeg)

Morphogenetic Engineering (ME) is about designing the agents of self-organized architectures... not the architectures directly

- ME brings a new focus in complex systems engineering
  - exploring the artificial design and implementation of decentralized systems capable of developing elaborate, heterogeneous morphologies without central planning or external lead

# Related emerging ICT disciplines and application domains

- ✓ amorphous/spatial computing (MIT, Fr.)
- ✓ *organic computing* (DFG, Germany)
- ✓ *pervasive adaptation* (FET, EU)
- ✓ *ubiquitous computing* (PARC)
- ✓ programmable matter (СМU)

## ME Workshops (MEW) and book

- $\circ$  1<sup>st</sup> MEW, Complex Systems Institute Paris, 2009
- o 2<sup>nd</sup> MEW (Special Session), ANTS 2010, ULB Bruxelles
- o 3<sup>rd</sup> MEW, ECAL 2011, Paris

- ✓ <u>swarm robotics</u>, modular/reconfigurable robotics
- mobile ad hoc networks, sensor-actuator networks
- ✓ <u>synthetic biology</u>, etc.
  - o Springer Book, 2012
  - $\circ~4^{th}$  MEW, Alife 2014, New York
  - o 5<sup>th</sup> MEW, ECAL 2015, York, UK

![](_page_39_Figure_0.jpeg)

Chap 2 – O'Grady, Christensen & Dorigo Chap 3 – Jin & Meng Chap 4 – Liu & Winfield Chap 5 – Werfel Chap 6 – Arbuckle & Requicha Chap 7 – Bhalla & Bentley Chap 8 – Sayama Chap 9 – Bai & Breen Chap 10 - Nembrini & Winfield Chap 11 – Doursat, Sanchez, Dordea, **Fourguet & Kowaliw** Chap 12 – Beal Chap 13 – Kowaliw & Banzhaf Chap 14 – Cussat-Blanc, Pascalie, Mazac, Luga & Duthen Chap 15 – Montagna & Viroli Chap 16 – Michel, Spicher & Giavitto

Chap 17 – Lobo, Fernandez & Vico

Chap 18 – von Mammen, Phillips, Davison, Jamniczky, Hallgrimsson & Jacob

Chap 19 – Verdenal, Combes & Escobar-Gutierrez

![](_page_40_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Picture_3.jpeg)

SWARMORPH

Part III. Developing

Part IV. Generating

 Symbol
 Part II.

 Coalescing

 Oragin

 Ermes

**Broader Review of ME** 

#### **Category I. Constructing**

(or "Assembling", "Fitting")

A small number of mobile agents or components attach to each other or assemble blocks to build a precise "stick-figure" structure. ... based on:

- Self-rearranging robotic parts
  - ex: Lipson (MOLECUBES)
  - ex: Murata (M-TRAN)
- Self-assembling mobile robots
  - ex: O'Grady, Dorigo (Swarmorph)
  - ex: Symbrion
- Block constructions
  - ex: Werfel (TERMES)

Doursat, Sayama & Michel, Natural Computing (2013)

![](_page_41_Figure_0.jpeg)

Doursat, Sayama & Michel, Natural Computing (2013)

![](_page_42_Figure_0.jpeg)

### **Broader Review of ME**

#### Category III. Developing

(or "Growing", "Aggregating")

The system expands from a single initial agent or group by division or aggregation, forming biological-like patterns or organisms.

... based on:

- Artificial (evolutionary)
   development
  - ex: Miller, Banzhaf (FRENCH FLAG)
  - ex: Doursat
- Developmental animats
  - ex: Joachimczak, Wrobel
  - ex: Schramm, Jin
- Morphogenetic patterning
  - ex: Kowaliw
  - ex: Nagpal, Coore (GPL)

![](_page_43_Figure_0.jpeg)

### **Broader Review of ME**

#### Category IV. Generating

(or "Rewriting", "Inserting")

The system expands by successive transformations of components in 3D space, based on a grammar of "rewrite" rules. ... based on:

- Biologically inspired grammars
  - ex: Lindemayer,
     Prusinkiewicz (L-SYSTEMS)
  - ex: Spicher, Michel, Giavitto (MGS)
- Graph and swarm
   grammars
  - ex: Sayama (GNA)
  - ex: von Mammen
- Evolutionary grammars
  - ex: Hornby, Pollack
  - ex: Lobo, Vico

Capturing the essence of morphogenesis in an Artificial Life agent model

![](_page_44_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Picture_2.jpeg)

$$B_i = \sigma(L_i(X, Y)) = \sigma(w_{ix} X + w_{iy} Y - \theta_i)$$

$$I_{k} = \prod_{i} |w'_{ki}| (w'_{ki}B_{i} + (1 - w'_{ki})/2)$$

![](_page_47_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Picture_1.jpeg)

### Bones & muscles: structural differentiation and properties

![](_page_49_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Picture_3.jpeg)

### **Locomotion and behavior by muscle contraction**

all 3D+t simulations: Carlos Sanchez (tool: ODE)

![](_page_49_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Picture_7.jpeg)

### Stair climbing challenge: 3 better body and limb sizes...

![](_page_50_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Picture_4.jpeg)

## > To be explored: qualitative mutations in limb structure

![](_page_51_Figure_2.jpeg)

<u>all 2D+t simulations:</u> Rene Doursat (tool: Java)

Doursat (2009)

![](_page_52_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### **Stereotyped Development**

![](_page_53_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### **Environment-Induced Polyphenism**

![](_page_53_Figure_4.jpeg)

Microevolutionary Polymorphism

![](_page_53_Figure_6.jpeg)

**Macroevolution** 

![](_page_53_Figure_8.jpeg)

# Morphogenetic Engineering Work-bot (MEWbot)

![](_page_54_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Figure_4.jpeg)

#### 🗞 Material used

- Arduino microcontrollers
- Infrared LEDs for communication
- \$ Inexpensive parts: step motors, etc. (≈ \$30/bot)

![](_page_54_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_55_Picture_0.jpeg)

# MapDevo / MEWbot Acknowledgments

![](_page_55_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### Hiroki Sayama Associate Professor

Morphogenetic Engineering

2 Springer

Binghamton University SUNY

**Carlos Sánchez** 

![](_page_55_Picture_6.jpeg)

#### Peter Schramm Senior Student

CUA, Washington

Lucas Cousi Senior Student

ESEIA, Paris

Gribbin Senior Student

CUA, Washington

![](_page_55_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_55_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_55_Picture_13.jpeg)

PhD Student

![](_page_55_Picture_14.jpeg)

![](_page_55_Picture_15.jpeg)

![](_page_55_Picture_16.jpeg)

# Systems that are self-organized <u>and</u> architectured

### Embryogenesis to Simulated Development to Synthetic Biology

![](_page_56_Figure_2.jpeg)

# Introduction

![](_page_57_Picture_1.jpeg)

### Synthetic biology's ambitions

- construct new biological functions and systems not found in nature (re-)build cells to make them
  - The formation of the second se
  - transform chemicals
  - create new materials
  - produce energy and food
  - improve human health and environment
  - process information, compute
  - create spatial structures (organs, buildings)
- introduce the engineering principles of abstraction & standardization into biology
- design and manufacture reusable biological components

![](_page_57_Picture_13.jpeg)

#### Registry of Standard Biological Parts

![](_page_57_Picture_15.jpeg)

- DNA sequences of defined structure and function
- sharing a common interface
- composed together and incorporated into living cells (plasmids)

| Part Number              | Function                                              | Notation |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|----------|
| BBa_G00000               | BioBrick cloning site prefix                          |          |
| BBa_G00001               | BioBrick cloning site suffix                          | -00      |
| BBa_P1016                | ccdB positive selection<br>marker                     |          |
| BBa_150022               | minimal pUC-derived high<br>copy replication origin   |          |
| BBa_B0042                | translational stop sequence                           | •        |
| BBa_B0053 &<br>BBa_B0054 | forward transcriptional terminator                    | 0        |
| BBa_B0055 &<br>BBa_B0062 | reverse transcriptional terminator                    | •        |
| BBa_G00100               | forward sequencing primer<br>annealing site (VF2)     | -        |
| BBa_G00102               | reverse sequencing primer<br>annealing site (VR)      | ~        |
| BBa_B0045                | Nhel restriction site                                 |          |
| BBa_P1006                | ampicillin resistance marker<br>(reverse orientation) | A        |
| BBa_P1002                | ampicillin resistance marker                          | A        |
| BBa_P1003                | kanamycin resistance<br>marker                        | к        |
| BBa_P1004                | chloramphenicol resistance<br>marker                  | с        |
| BBa_P1005                | tetracycline resistance<br>marker                     | т        |
| BBa_150042               | pSC101 replication origin                             |          |
| BBa_150032               | p15A replication origin                               |          |

synbiotic

![](_page_57_Picture_20.jpeg)

![](_page_58_Picture_0.jpeg)

Simulation (based on the *Gro* Language): Jonathan Pascalie

Jang, Oishi, Egbert, Klavins, "Specification and simulation of multicelled behaviours", ACS Synthetic Biology, 2012.

![](_page_58_Picture_3.jpeg)

Susan Stepney et al. (2012) GroCyPhy Project: Gardening cyber-physical systems.

![](_page_58_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_59_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Gro Programming Language

The Gro language (E. Klavins) includes pre-programmed capabilities such as bacterial physics, cell behaviors, and diffusive chemical signals

Capable of simulating experiments involving the growth and self-organization of *E. Coli* colonies on agar dishes

![](_page_59_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_60_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_60_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_61_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Our Model – Genome

synbiotic

- Bacterial dynamics is encapsulated in a finite state machine:
   Nodes (states) are the types into which bacteria differentiate
  - Each state corresponds to a set of actions executed by the bacteria
  - Edges (transitions) describe the conditions of differentiation
    - Conditions pertain to protein concentrations and time

![](_page_61_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_62_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Genomic Representation – SBGP

### The Synthetic Biology Genetic Programming (SBGP) declarative language describes bacterial dynamics and environmental chemistry

| 0-9.00                    |
|---------------------------|
| ["A", 3, 0.4],            |
| ["B", 5, 0.01],           |
| ["C", 6, 0.5],            |
| ["D", 6, 0.5],            |
| ["E", 6, 0.5],            |
| ["F", 6, 0.5],            |
| ["G", 6, 0.5]             |
|                           |
| .,                        |
| "reactions" : [           |
| [["A", "B"], ["C"], 0.5], |
| [["D", "E"], ["F"], 0.5]  |
| 1.                        |
| .,                        |
| "type" : [                |
| "INIT".                   |
| "INTER".                  |
| "CENTRAL"                 |
| "FMIT"                    |
| UDEAD"                    |
| DEAD                      |
| 1,                        |
| "parameters" . (          |
| "parameters": {           |
| "F1": 250,<br>"D2" - 25   |
| "P2" : 35                 |
| 1,                        |
|                           |

"signals" :

```
"behavior" : {
 "INIT" : [{"EmitSignal" : ["A", "50"]}],
 "INTER" : [{"Ungrowth" : []}],
 "CENTRAL" : [{"Growth" : []}],
 "EMIT" : [{"EmitSignal" : ["A", "35"]}],
 "DEAD" : [{"EmitSignal" : ["B", "750"]},
 {"Die" : []}]
"transition" : [
 ["NA", "NA", "C1", "NA", "NA"],
 ["NA", "NA", "NA", "NA", "C2"],
 ["NA", "C3", "NA", "C4", "NA"],
 ["NA", "C5", "NA", "NA", "C2"],
 ["NA", "NA", "NA", "NA", "NA"]
],
"cond transition" : {
 "C1" : {"AfterCond" : ["0.01"]},
 "C2" : {"OR" : [
 {"LessThreshold" : ["A", "5"]},
 {"GreaterThreshold" : ["B", "0.2"]}
 "C3" : {"GreaterThreshold" : ["B", "0.2"]},
 "C4" : {"GreaterThreshold" : ["A", "25"]},
 "C5" : {"LessThreshold" : ["A", "25"]},
```

![](_page_62_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_63_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Example: Homeostatic Growth

A leader cell (green cell) emits a diffusive morphogen
 Followers cells (yellow) divide while above a certain threshold
 Death occurs if followers detect morphogens below the threshold

![](_page_63_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_64_Picture_0.jpeg)

500

400

Size 300

100

0

## Quantitative variations

Variations in the "survival threshold" (th) impact group size and crown's thickness

![](_page_64_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_64_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_65_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Example: Shape Formation

- Cells emit a slowly diffusive morphogen
- Cells die if morphogen concentration falls below a certain threshold
- Dying cells also send a faster diffusive signal that reacts with the morphogen and degrades it.
- This rate difference creates a mechanism of border reinforcement
- Mechanical forces induced by contacts between bacteria support branching structures

![](_page_65_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_65_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_65_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_66_Picture_0.jpeg)

After growth (a), organism morphology can be characterized by image analysis:

- (b) binary image and (c) Gaussian convolution to reduce contour irregularities
- (d) skeletonization, then pruning of short branches

![](_page_66_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_66_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_66_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_67_Picture_0.jpeg)

# **Toward Building Complex Shapes**

Chemical species emitted by **black** cells react with ones emitted by **white** cells to product **green** cells' survival signals

Example of (guided)

evolutionary bifurcations

Random changes in spontaneous crown segmentation lead to differences in growth dynamics

![](_page_67_Picture_6.jpeg)

<u>Simulation (based on *Gro*)</u>: Jonathan Pascalie Chemical species emitted by **black** cells react with the ones emitted by **white** cells to product **black** cells' survival signals

![](_page_67_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_68_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_68_Picture_1.jpeg)

ynbiotic

Jonathan Pascalie ISC-PIF

![](_page_68_Picture_3.jpeg)

René Doursat CNRS – École Polytechnique

CINIS

Institut des Beierner Systèmes Complexes

![](_page_68_Picture_6.jpeg)

Martin Potier UPEC - LACL

UPEC

UNIVERSITÉ PARIS-EST CRÉTEIL

![](_page_68_Picture_8.jpeg)

Antoine Spicher UPEC - LACL

![](_page_68_Picture_10.jpeg)

Olivier Michel UPEC - LACL

![](_page_68_Picture_12.jpeg)

Jean-Louis Giavitto IRCAM

![](_page_68_Picture_14.jpeg)

Taras Kowaliw ISC-PIF

![](_page_68_Picture_16.jpeg)