

Embryomorphic Engineering:



From biological development to self-organized computational architectures

René Doursat

http://www.iscpif.fr/~doursat







Systems that are self-organized <u>and</u> architectured



Peugeot Picass





decompose the system

self-organized architecture / architectured self-organization



Toward programmable self-organization

Self-organized (complex) systems

- \checkmark a myriad of self-positioning, self-assembling agents
- ✓ collective order is not imposed from outside (only influenced)
- ✓ comes from purely *local* information & interaction around each agent
- \checkmark no agent possesses the global map or goal of the system
- ✓ but every agent may contain all the *rules* that contribute to it

Architectured systems

- ✓ true *intrinsic structure*: non-trivial, complicated morphology
 - *hierarchical*, multi-scale: regions, parts, details, agents
 - *modular*: reuse, quasi-repetition
 - *heterogeneous*: differentiation & divergence in the repetition
- ✓ *random* at the microscopic level, *but reproducible* (quasi deterministic) at the mesoscopic and macroscopic levels







Embryomorphic Engineering

1. Toward self-organized <u>and</u> architectured systems

- 2. Biological development as a two-side challenge Heterogeneous motion vs. moving patterns
- 3. Embryomorphic engineering Morphogenesis as a multi-agent self-assembly process
- 4. Evo-devo engineering Evolutionary innovation by development
- 5. Extension to self-knitting network topologies



Complex systems in many domains



- large number of elementary agents interacting locally
- simple individual behaviors creating a complex emergent collective behavior
- *decentralized* dynamics: no master blueprint or grand architect

physical, biological, technical, social systems (natural or artificial)



pattern formation O = matter



biological development O = cell



the brain & cognition O = neuron





Internet & Web) = host/page



social networks O = person









CS in conceptual space: a vast archipelago

Precursor and neighboring disciplines

complexity: measuring the length to describe, time to build, or resources to run, a system

- information theory (Shannon; entropy)
- computational complexity (P, NP)
- Turing machines & cellular automata

→ Toward a unified "complex systems" science and engineering?

dynamics: behavior and activity of a system over time

- nonlinear dynamics & chaos
- stochastic processes
- systems dynamics (macro variables)

PLEX

adaptation: change in typical functional regime of a system

- evolutionary methods
- genetic algorithms
- machine learning

systems sciences: holistic (nonreductionist) view on interacting parts

- systems theory (von Bertalanffy)
- systems engineering (design)
- cybernetics (Wiener; goals & feedback)
- **control theory** (negative feedback)

multitude, statistics: large-scale properties of systems

- graph theory & networks
- statistical physics
- agent-based modeling
- distributed AI systems



Emergence

The system has properties that the elements do not have

- ✓ ex: micro units form macro patterns: rolls, spiral waves, stripes, spots
- ex: "ignorant" individuals make intelligent collective decisions: insect colonies, neurons, market traders(?)
- These properties cannot be easily inferred or deduced
 - \checkmark ex: liquid water or ice emerging from H₂O molecules
 - \checkmark ex: cognition and consciousness emerging from neurons

Different properties can emerge from the same elements/rules

- ✓ ex: the same molecules of water combine to form liquid or ice crystals
- \checkmark ex: the same cellular automaton rules change behavior with initial state
- Global properties make local (sophisticated) rules at a higher level
 - \rightarrow jumping from level to level through emergence

Counter-examples of emergence without self-organization

- ✓ ex: well-informed leader (orchestra conductor, military officer)
- ✓ ex: global plan (construction area), full instructions (orchestra)



From natural to engineered emergence (and back)

> The challenges of complex systems (CS) research

Transfersamong systems



CS science: understanding & modeling "natural" CS

(spontaneously emergent, including human-made):

morphogenesis, neural dynamics, cooperative co-evolution, swarm intelligence

Exports

- decentralization
- <u>autonomy</u>, homeostasis
- learning, evolution



- observe, model
- control, harness
- design, use



CS engineering: designing a new generation of "artificial" CS (harnessed & tamed, including nature): collective robotics, synthetic biology, energy networks



From "statistical" to "morphological" CS

Most self-organized systems form "simple"/random patterns

(a) simple/random SO: pattern formation (spots, stripes), swarms (clusters, flocks), complex networks (hubs)...



texture-like order: repetitive, statistically **uniform**, information-poor – arising from amplification of fluctuations: unpredictable number/position of mesoscopic entities (spots, groups) – OR determined by the environment (trails)



... while "complicated" architectures are designed by humans²

self-organization

more





From "statistical" to "morphological" ... to artificial CS

the only natural emergent and structured forms are biological

mesoscopic organs and limbs have intrinsic, nonrandom morphologies – development is highly reproducible in number and position of body parts - heterogeneous elements arise under information-rich genetic control



De facto complexity of engineering (ICT) systems

Ineluctable breakup into myriads of modules/components,



Taming complex ICT toward morphogenetic abilities

➢ Self-architecturing in natural systems → artificial systems

- morphogenetic abilities in biological modeling
 - organism development
 - brain development
 - need for morphogenetic abilities in computer science & Al
 - self-forming robot swarm
 - self-architecturing software
 - self-connecting micro-components
- need for morphogenetic abilities in techno-social networked systems
 - self-reconfiguring manufacturing plant
 - self-stabilizing energy grid
 - self-deploying emergency taskforce



http://www.symbrion.eu



MAST agents, Rockwell Automation Research Center {pvrba, vmarik}@ra.rockwell.com 13



Toward "evo-devo" engineering

From design to "meta-design"

organisms endogenously *grow* but artificial systems *are built* exogenously

systems design systems "meta-design" indirect (implicit) 2 rous 2 rous 4 rous 5 rous 5 rous 7 rous 7 rous 1 rous 1

✓ could engineers "step back" from their creation and only set generic conditions for systems to self-assemble?

instead of building the system from the top (phenotype), <u>program the</u> <u>components</u> from the bottom (genotype)





The meta-design of complexity

Pushing design toward evolutionary biology



intelligent "hands-on" design

- heteronomous order
 - centralised control
- designer as a micromanager
 - rigidly placing components
 - sensitive to part failures
- need to control and redesign
- *complicated* systems: planes, computers

intelligent & evolutionary "meta-design"

- autonomous order
- decentralised control
- designer as a lawmaker
- allowing fuzzy self-placement
- insensitive to part failures
- prepare for adaptation & evolution
- complex multi-component systems

The evolutionary "self-made puzzle" paradigm



- a. Construe systems as *selfassembling (developing) puzzles*
- b. Design and *program their pieces* (the "genotype")
- c. Let them evolve by *variation* of the pieces and *selection* of the architecture (the "phenotype")

➢ Genotype: rules at the *micro* level of agents

- ✓ ability to *search* and *connect* to other agents
- ✓ ability to *interact* with them over those connections
- ✓ ability to *modify* one's internal state (differentiate) and rules (evolve)
- ✓ ability to provide a specialized local *function*

Phenotype: collective behavior, visible at the macro level

The evolutionary "self-made puzzle" paradigm



- a. Construe systems as *selfassembling (developing) puzzles*
- b. Design and *program their pieces* (the "genotype")
- c. Let them evolve by *variation* of the pieces and *selection* of the architecture (the "phenotype")





Embryomorphic Engineering

1. Toward self-organized <u>and</u> architectured systems

- 2. Biological development as a two-side challenge Heterogeneous motion vs. moving patterns
- 3. Embryomorphic engineering Morphogenesis as a multi-agent self-assembly process
- 4. Evo-devo engineering Evolutionary innovation by development
- 5. Extension to self-knitting network topologies



Devo-inspired engineering

Replacing biological development in the center

 ✓ Computational, spatially explicit models of development and evolution with possible outcomes toward hyperdistributed, decentralized engineering systems





From "statistical" to "morphological" CS in social insect constructions



2003/EPOW-030811_files/matabele_ants.jpc epow/epow-archive/ http://taos-telecommunity.org/ archive

ant trail



network of ant trails

http://picasaweb.google.com/tridentoriginal/Ghana



ant nest

http://www.bio.fsu.edu/faculty-tschinkel.php



termite mound

From "statistical" to "morphological" CS in inert matter / insect constructions / multicellular organisms



physical pattern formation





Morphological (self-dissimilar) systems compositional systems: pattern formation ≠ morphogenesis



"The stripes are easy, it's the horse part that troubles me" —attributed to A. Turing, after his 1952 paper on morphogenesis



From "statistical" to "morphological" CS

Physical pattern formation is "free" – Biological (multicellular) pattern formation is "guided"





fruit fly embryo Sean Caroll, U of Wisconsin



larval axolotl limb condensations Gerd B. Müller



From "statistical" to "morphological" CS

Multicellular forms = a bit of "free" + a lot of "guided"

✓ domains of free patterning embedded in a guided morphology

unlike Drosophila's stripes, these pattern primitives are <u>not</u> regulated by different sets of genes depending on their position

spots, stripes in skin angelfish, www.sheddaquarium.org





ommatidia in compound eye dragonfly, www.phy.duke.edu/~hsg/54

repeated copies of a guided form, distributed in free patterns

entire structures (flowers, segments) can become modules showing up in random positions and/or numbers

flowers in tree cherry tree, www.phy.duke.edu/~fortney





segments in insect centipede, images.encarta.msn.com



Evo-devo engineering

➢ Model embryogenesis ↔ import/export to engineering

- ✓ automated observation and reconstruction of developing organisms by image processing and learning/optimization methods
- ✓ mathematical and computational (agent-based) modeling
- ✓ simulation of recalculated embryos, real and fictitious



FP6 Projects *Embryomics*, *BioEmergences* Submitted ANR Projects *MEC@GEN*, *SYNBIOTIC*



Overview of morphogenesis

> An abstract computational approach to development

- ✓ as a fundamentally *spatial* phenomenon
- highlighting its *broad principles* and proposing a *computational* model of these principles

Broad principles

- 1. biomechanics \rightarrow collective motion \rightarrow "sculpture" of the embryo
- *2. gene regulation* \rightarrow gene expression patterns \rightarrow "painting" of the embryo
- + *coupling* between shapes and colors

Multi-agent models

- best positioned to integrate both
- account for heterogeneity, modularity, hierarchy
 - each agent carries a combined set of *biomechanical* and *regulatory* rules

Morphogenesis couples assembly and patterning

> Sculpture \rightarrow forms



Ádám Szabó, *The chicken or the egg* (2005) http://www.szaboadam.hu





"shape from patterning"

 the forms are
"sculpted" by the selfassembly of the
elements, whose
behavior is triggered
by the colors

\succ Painting \rightarrow colors



"patterns from shaping

 new color regions appear (domains of genetic expression) triggered by deformations Niki de Saint Phall

Morphogenesis couples assembly and patterning

SA = self-assembly ("sculpture") PF = pattern formation ("painting")



Morphogenesis couples mechanics and regulation

> Cellular mechanics

- ✓ adhesion
- ✓ deformation / reformation
- ✓ migration (motility)
- division / death

cellular Potts model (Graner, Glazier, Hogeweg)







(Doursat)

Delile & Doursat)





Gene regulatory pattern formation

Segmentation & identity domains in Drosophila

 ✓ periodic A/P band patterns are controlled by a 5-tier gene regulatory hierarchy



 intersection with other axes creates organ primordia and imaginal discs (identity domains of future legs, wings, antennae, etc.)



From DNA to Diversity, p63

Morphogenesis couples mechanics and regulation Cellular mechanics mechanical stress, modification of cell mechano-sensitivity size and shape growth, division, apoptosis differential adhesion Genetic regulation change of cell-to-cell contacts gene regulation change of signals, chemical messengers diffusion gradients ("morphogens")

Morphogenesis couples motion and patterns

Gollective motion regionalized into patterns

Nadine Peyriéras, Paul Bourgine, Thierry Savy, Benoît Lombardot, Emmanuel Faure et al. Embryomics & BioEmergences



Pattern formation that triggers motion



http://zool33.uni-graz.at/schmickl

Doursat



Embryomorphic Engineering

- 1. Toward self-organized <u>and</u> architectured systems
- 2. Biological development as a two-side challenge Heterogeneous motion vs. moving patterns
- 3. Embryomorphic engineering Morphogenesis as a multi-agent self-assembly process
- 4. Evo-devo engineering Evolutionary innovation by development
- 5. Extension to self-knitting network topologies



Overview of an embryomorphic system






















Virtual gene atlas

Programmed patterning (patt): the hidden embryo map

- a) same swarm in different colormaps to visualize the agents' internal patterning variables *X*, *Y*, *B*_i and *I*_k (virtual *in situ hybridization*)
- b) consolidated view of all identity regions I_k for k = 1...9
- c) gene regulatory network used by each agent to calculate its expression levels, here: $B_1 = \sigma(1/3 X)$, $B_3 = \sigma(2/3 Y)$, $I_4 = B_1B_3(1 B_4)$, etc.





Hierarchical morphogenesis

Morphological refinement by iterative growth

✓ details are not created in one shot, but gradually added. . .



 \checkmark . . . while, at the same time, the canvas grows



x).



from Coen, E. (2000) The Art of Genes, pp131-135



Hierarchical embryogenesis







Hierarchical embryogenesis



microscopic (cell) randomness, but mesoscopic (region) predictability



Embryomorphic Engineering

- 1. Toward self-organized <u>and</u> architectured systems
- 2. Biological development as a two-side challenge Heterogeneous motion vs. moving patterns
- 3. Embryomorphic engineering Morphogenesis as a multi-agent self-assembly process
- 4. Evo-devo engineering Evolutionary innovation by development
- 5. Extension to self-knitting network topologies



Evolutionary innovation by development

> Development: the missing link of the Modern Synthesis...

"When Charles Darwin proposed his theory of evolution by variation and selection, explaining selection was his great achievement. He could not explain <u>variation</u>. That was Darwin's dilemma."

"To understand novelty in evolution, we need to understand organisms down to their individual building blocks, down to their deepest components, for these are what undergo change."



Purves et al., Life: The Science of Biology

-Marc W. Kirschner and John C. Gerhart (2005)



The self-made puzzle of "evo-devo" engineering

> Development: the missing link of the Modern Synthesis...



macroscopic, emergent level

"To understand novelty in evolution, we need to understand organisms down to their individual building blocks, down to their deepest components, for these are what undergo change."



The self-made puzzle of "evo-devo" engineering

> Development: the missing link of the Modern Synthesis...



Quantitative mutations: limb thickness



Quantitative mutations: body size and limb length



Qualitative mutations: limb position and differentiation



> Qualitative mutations: number of limbs



Qualitative mutations: 3rd-level digits



> Artificial phylogenetic tree

production of structural innovation





Work toward more accurate biological modeling

More accurate mechanics

- ✓ 3-D
- ✓ individual cell shapes
- ✓ collective motion, migration
- ✓ adhesion









Better gene regulation

- recurrent links
- ✓ gene reuse
- ✓ kinetic reaction ODEs
- ✓ attractor dynamics





More work toward functional EC

What is missing...

- 1. the *function/purpose/behavior* of a developed organism
 - depending on the problem domain
 - 2-D/3-D modular robotics: move, grab, build, etc.
 - N-D networks: communication dynamics, collective computation

2. a fitness measure

assessing the value of the above function

3. a systematic exploration

- by random, automated mutations
- with statistics over many runs

4. a *comparison*

- with other, non-developmental (or non-self-organized) approaches
- on the same problems or benchmarks



Discussion

Questions that need to be addressed...

✓ modularity?

modularity of the genotype vs. phenotype

✓ compactness?

- repetitiveness: reuse of genes and gene regulation modules
- vs. heterogeneity and uniqueness of structures

✓ innovation?

how fine-grained development fosters the emergence of new structures

✓ open-ended evolution?

don't set a specific goal, harvest from surprising organisms



Embryomorphic Engineering

- 1. Toward self-organized <u>and</u> architectured systems
- 2. Biological development as a two-side challenge Heterogeneous motion vs. moving patterns
- 3. Embryomorphic engineering Morphogenesis as a multi-agent self-assembly process
- 4. Evo-devo engineering Evolutionary innovation by development

5. Extension to self-knitting network topologies



Programmable techno-social networks

Harnessing complex networks



ubiquitous computing & communication capabilities create entirely *new myriads of user-device interactions* from the bottom up



explosion in size and complexity of techno-social networks in all domains: energy, education, healthcare, business, defense



de facto complex systems with spontaneous collective behavior that we don't quite understand or control yet



time to design new collaborative technologies to harness this decentralisation and emergence



From "scale-free" to architectured networks





single-node composite branching

iterative lattice pile-up

clustered composite branching



Self-knitting networks

Not random, but <u>programmable</u> attachment



✓ a generalisation of morphogenesis in n dimensions

the node routines are the *"genotype"* of the network



Self-organized programmable networks

strong intrinsic
morphology – no influence
from the environment

no intrinsic morphology
– complete adaptation to
the environment



➢ intrinsic morphologies that are non-trivial <u>and</u> adapt dynamically to their environment





Order influenced (not imposed) by the environment









Spatial Computers



Slide from Jake Beal's course on Spatial Computing, 2009 (CSAIL, MIT)



Decentralized Network Engineering

Methodologies and tools

- ✓ an original, young field of investigation without a strong theoretical framework yet – but close links with many established disciplines, which can give it a more formal structure through their own tools
 - cellular automata, pattern formation
 - collective motion, swarm intelligence (Ant Colony Optim. [Dorigo])
 - gene regulatory networks: coupled dynamical systems, attractors
 - spatial computing languages: PROTO [Beal] and MGS [Giavitto] (top-down compilation)



- evolution: genetic algorithms, computational evolution [Banzhaf]
- Iterative Function Systems (IFS) [Lutton]
- → goal: going beyond agent-based experiments and find an abstract description on a macroscopic level, for better control and proof

Details: an abstract model of self-made network

Formation of a specific, reproducible structure

✓ nodes attach randomly, but only to a few available ports







Simple chaining

✓ link creation (L) by programmed port management (P)





Simple chaining

\checkmark port management (P) relies on gradient update (G)





> Simple chaining







Lattice formation by guided attachment

 \checkmark *two* pairs of ports: (X, X') and (Y, Y')



 \checkmark without port management *P*, chains form and intersect randomly





> Lattice formation by guided attachment

 \checkmark only specific spots are open, similar to beacons on a landing runway





Cluster chains and lattices

✓ several nodes per location: reintroducing randomness but only within the constraints of a specific structure





Cluster chains and lattices






Abstract model of self-made network

> Modular structures by local gradients

✓ modeled here by different coordinate systems, (X_a, X'_a) , (X_b, X'_b) , etc., and links cannot be created different tags







Abstract model of self-made network

Modular structures by local gradients



Morphogenetic Engineering, ANTS 2010, Brussels http://iridia.ulb.ac.be/ants2010 → Special Session on Morphogenetic Engineering

Exporing various engineering approaches to the artificial design and implementation of autonomous systems capable of developing complex, heterogeneous morphologies

+ Springer book in preparation



Thank you



Embryomorphic Engineering

- 1. Toward self-organized <u>and</u> architectured systems
- 2. Biological development as a two-side challenge Heterogeneous motion vs. moving patterns
- 3. Embryomorphic engineering Morphogenesis as a multi-agent self-assembly process
- 4. Evo-devo engineering Evolutionary innovation by development
- 5. Extension to self-knitting network topologies